

Pupil Premium Impact - Autumn 2017

Spending - April to August 2017

The allocated budget for this period, on a monthly pro rata basis was £124548, of which the proposal was to spend £96,758 on non-salary item and salaries (see attached budget breakdown). This would have left a carry forward of £27,790 to support increased seasonal spending and new positions in the September 2017 to September 2018 budget.

Impact 2016 -2017

Whilst PP students have not performed as well as their non PP counterparts in Year 11, the gaps (where possible to measure) have closed when compared to data from 2016.

	PP	Non PP	2017 Academy Gap	2016 Academy Gap
Basics "Good" grade 9-5 EM (%)	22.7	37.3	14.6	N/A
Basics "standard" grade 9-4 EM (%)	34.1	57.1	23	32
Students with at least 1 qualification	95.5	96.8	1.3	5.5
Average Total A8 <i>*Using 2017 A8 point system</i>	30.27	39.63	9.37	N/A
9-5 English Core	27.3	48.4	21.1	N/A
9-5 Maths Core	31.8	52.4	20.6	N/A
Ebacc Achieved (%)	2.3	6.3	4	5.6

a) Attendance

Attendance overall has improved in all key measures. There is still a gap between PP and non PP students, but it is narrowing. The biggest improvements have been with the target group of FSM students (the only section of disadvantaged student reported on Raise).

Group	Sept 2015 - May 2016	Sept 2016 - May 2017
Year 7-11 % attendance	93.5%	94.1%
FSM students	88.7%	89.9%
PP students	91.2%	91.5%
Whole school persistent absentee	15.5%	12%
PP persistent absentee	28.8%	19.1%

b) Behaviour

The gaps have closed between the behaviour and engagement of PP students when compared to non PP students. Attitude to learning scores have improved for all PP year groups and gaps have closed. PP attitude to learning scores still remain lower than non PP.

Year Group	PP First data collection	PP Last data collection	Non PP First data collection	Non PP Last data collection
7	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8
8	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7
9	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.8
10	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.8
11	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.1

Exclusions have reduced for PP students and the gap between PP and non-PP exclusions has closed. PP students are still more likely to be excluded than their non PP counterparts.

	2015-2016	2016-2017
No of FT exclusion – PP students	27	22
No of FT exclusions – Non PP	28	30
% of PP students from all PP	9%	7%
% of Non PP students from all non PP students	4%	3%